

Published on Web 10/26/2006

## Total Synthesis of the Diazobenzofluorene Antibiotic (–)-Kinamycin C<sup>1</sup>

Xiaoguang Lei and John A. Porco, Jr.\*

Department of Chemistry and Center for Chemical Methodology and Library Development, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts 02215

Received September 13, 2006; E-mail: porco@bu.edu

The kinamycins represent a family of structurally unique natural products containing a highly oxygenated cyclohexene ring and an unusual diazobenzofluorene moiety (Figure 1).<sup>2</sup> Four kinamycin antibiotics, A-D, were isolated in 1970 from the culture broth of Streptomyces murayamaensis sp. nov. by Omura and co-workers.<sup>3</sup> These monomeric compounds display strong inhibition of Grampositive bacteria. Kinamycin C 1 also showed antitumor activity.<sup>3</sup> Other kinamycins include the biosynthetic precursor prekinamycin  $2^4$  and the epoxide-containing FL-120B 3.5 The structural assignment of the kinamycins has been the subject of revision. Kinamycin C 1 was initially characterized as a *N*-cyanobenzo[b]-carbazole.<sup>6</sup> In 1994, Gould<sup>7</sup> and Dmitrienko<sup>8</sup> independently revised its structure to contain a diazobenzofluorene moiety based on X-ray and NMR analysis, as well as synthetic studies. Although the novel and challenging chemical structures of the kinamycins, as well as their interesting biological activities, have prompted a number of synthetic and mechanistic investigations,<sup>2,9</sup> total syntheses of the kinamycins have not been reported to date. Herein, we report the first enantioselective total synthesis of kinamycin C.

Our retrosynthetic analysis for kinamycin C **1** is illustrated in Figure 2. Because of the labile nature of the diazo function, we planned to install this moiety at a late stage. Kinamycin C **1** may be derived from oxidation and diazo formation of the MOM-protected benzofluorene ketone precursor **4**. Compound **4** should be available through intramolecular Friedel–Crafts cyclization of carboxylic acid **5**. Acid **5** may be accessed via Stille cross-coupling of vinyl bromide **6** (fragment A) and MOM-protected arylstannane **7** (fragment B). Fragment A **6** may be derived from epoxyketone precursor **8**, which may be obtained from tartrate-mediated asymmetric nucleophilic epoxidation of quinone monoketal **9** using methodology developed in our laboratory.<sup>10</sup> Fragment B **7** may be readily accessed from the commercially available 1, 5-napthalenediol.

The synthesis of fragment A (6) began with the readily available 2-bromo-3,6-dihydroxy-benzaldehyde  $10^{11}$  (Scheme 1).<sup>12</sup> Selective methylation,<sup>13</sup> followed by reduction, provided 11 (85%). Hypervalent iodine oxidation of 11, followed by transketalization and silyl protection, afforded quinone monoketal 12 (72% yield, three steps). As the literature was sparse with regard to Baylis—Hillman reactions of quinone monoketal substrates,<sup>14</sup> we evaluated new protocols for this transformation. After extensive evaluation, we identified a modification of conditions reported by Aggarwal<sup>15</sup> employing Et<sub>3</sub>P as nucleophilic activator which afforded quinone monoketal 9 in 70% yield. With 9 in hand, we evaluated the critical hydroxyl-



Figure 1. The kinamycin family of natural products.



Figure 2. Retrosynthetic analysis.

Scheme 1<sup>a</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup> Conditions: (a) Me<sub>3</sub>O-BF<sub>4</sub>, proton sponge, 4 Å MS, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, room temp, 12 h, 85%; (b) NaBH<sub>4</sub>, EtOH, 0 °C, 1 h, 99%; (c) PhI(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, MeOH, room temp, 30 min; (d) 1, 3-propanediol, BF<sub>3</sub>-Et<sub>2</sub>O, DME, room temp, 3 h; (e) TBSCl, imid. CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, room temp, 6 h, 72% for three steps; (f) (CH<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>*n*</sub>, La(OTf)<sub>3</sub>, N(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH)<sub>3</sub>, Et<sub>3</sub>P, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -20 °C, 6 h, 70%; (g) Ph<sub>3</sub>COOH, NaHMDS, *D*-DIPT, 4 Å MS, toluene, -65 °C, 72 h, 94%, 90% ee; (f) Et<sub>3</sub>N-3HF, CH<sub>3</sub>CN, room temp, 12 h, 98%.

Scheme 2



<sup>*a*</sup> Conditions: (a) Me<sub>4</sub>NBH(OAc)<sub>3</sub>, AcOH, CH<sub>3</sub>CN, 0 °C, 24 h, 90%; (b) MsCl, collidine, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 5 °C, 8 h, 85%; (c) Super-Hydride, DCE, 60 °C, 30 min, 95%; (d) K-10 clay, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, room temp, 4 h, 90%; (e) MOMCl, DIEA, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, room temp, 3 h, 85%; (f) Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, Et<sub>2</sub>O, then MOMCl, DIEA, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, room temp, 24 h, 70%; (g) Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, Bu<sub>3</sub>SnSnBu<sub>3</sub>, toluene, 110 °C, 24 h, 70%.

directed, asymmetric nucleophilic epoxidation.<sup>10</sup> After careful reaction optimization, we identified satisfactory conditions for tartratemediated asymmetric nucleophilic epoxidation to generate epoxide **8** in 94% yield and 90% ee. In contrast, Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation of **9** (Ti( $^{10}$ PO)<sub>4</sub>, *D*-DIPT,  $^{10}$ BuOOH)<sup>16</sup> provided **8** in 85% yield (70% ee). The absolute stereochemistry of **8** was confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis of the derived diol **13**.<sup>12</sup>

Further elaboration of epoxyalcohol **8** was initiated by hydroxyldirected reduction<sup>17</sup> to afford a diol as a single diastereomer, which was subsequently subjected to selective mesylation of the primary alcohol to yield **14**. (Scheme 2).<sup>18</sup> Reductive demesylation cleanly afforded **15** (95%).<sup>19</sup> Selective removal of the cyclic ketal using Scheme 3<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup> Conditions: (a) Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub>, AsPh<sub>3</sub>, CuCl, DIEA, CH<sub>3</sub>CN, 70 °C, 4 h, 70%; (b) Super-Hydride, THF, - 78 °C, 1 h, 80% (dr > 10 :1); (c) Ti(O<sup>i</sup>Pr)<sub>4</sub>, "Bu4NOAc, 4 Å MS, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, room temp, 10 h, 60%; (d) Ac<sub>2</sub>O, pyridine, room temp, 2 h; (e) Et<sub>3</sub>N-3HF, CH<sub>3</sub>CN, room temp, 12 h, 67% for two steps; (f) TPAP, NMO, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, room temp, 20 min; (g) NaClO<sub>2</sub>, NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 2-methyl-2-butene, 'BuOH/H<sub>2</sub>O, room temp, 12 h, 88% for two steps; (h) TFAA, DCE, 4 Å MS, room temp, 1 h, 90%; (i) CBr<sub>4</sub>, PrOH, 84 °C, 1 h; (j) Pd/C, air, EtOAc, room temp, 30 min, 70% for two steps; (k) TBSNHNHTBS, Sc(OTf)<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; (l) PhIF<sub>2</sub>, 2-chloropyridine, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 35% for two steps.

K-10 clay<sup>20</sup> cleanly afforded the desired epoxyketone 6 (fragment A) in 90% yield. The synthesis of fragment B (7) was initiated from readily available 1,5-naphthoquinone 16 (Scheme 2).<sup>21</sup> Protection of 16 using MOMCI/DIEA afforded naphthalenedione 17, which was subsequently reduced with Na2S2O4 and further protected to generate 18. Stannylation of aryl bromide 18 (Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, bis- $(tributyltin))^{22}$  afforded the desired arylstannane 7 (70%).

With both fragments A and B in hand, we initiated studies on fragment coupling (Scheme 3). Through extensive reaction evaluation, we identified a suitable condition (Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub>, AsPh<sub>3</sub>, CuCl,  $DIEA)^{23}$  to afford the desired coupling product 19 (70%). Compound 19 was found to have two major rotamers (2:1) by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR analysis.12 Further reduction of 19 with Super-Hydride afforded the syn-epoxyalcohol (80%, 10:1 dr),12 which was subjected to the epoxide-opening<sup>24</sup> using Ti(<sup>i</sup>PrO)<sub>4</sub> and <sup>n</sup>Bu<sub>4</sub>NOAc to generate triol 20 (60%, > 10:1 dr). Acylation of **20**, followed by deprotection of the silyl ether, provided alcohol 21. Sequential oxidations of 21 using TPAP<sup>25</sup> and NaClO<sub>2</sub><sup>26</sup> provided carboxylic acid **5** (88%, 2 steps).

Next, we examined the feasibility for an intramolecular Friedel-Crafts cyclization for construction of the C-ring. Evaluation of a number of different conditions revealed that TFAA<sup>27</sup> efficiently mediated the desired Friedel-Crafts annulation to generate cyclization product 22 (90%). In addition, two MOM protecting groups were also removed in a regioselective fashion under the reaction conditions.12 MOM deprotection,28 followed by oxidation of the hydroquinone using Pd/C-air,<sup>29</sup> afforded ketoquinone 23. Condensation of 23 with 1,2-bis(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)hydrazine generated 24, which was treated with PhIF<sub>2</sub> to install the diazo functionality<sup>30</sup> and complete the total synthesis of kinamycin C 1. Synthetic 1 was confirmed to be identical with data reported for natural kinamycin C12 by 1H and 13C NMR spectra, mass spectrum, IR,  $[\alpha]_D$ , HPLC, and TLC  $R_f$  values in three solvent systems.<sup>12</sup>

In conclusion, we have accomplished the first enantioselective total synthesis of the complex diazobenzofluorene natural product (-)-kinamycin C. The synthesis relies on a directed, asymmetric nucleophilic epoxidation process to establish the desired stereochemistry of the complex D-ring subunit. Further studies toward the synthesis of diazobenzofluorene natural products as well as biological evaluation of kinamycin C and congeners will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgment. This paper is dedicated to Prof. James S. Panek on the occasion of his 50th birthday. Financial support from the NIH (Grant GM62842), Bristol-Myers-Squibb (Unrestricted Grant in Synthetic Organic Chemistry), and Merck Research Laboratories is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Mr. Stephen Scully (Boston University) for helpful discussions, and Prof. Philip J. Proteau (Oregon State University) for providing authentic kinamycin D.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and characterization data for all new compounds; X-ray crystal structure coordinates and files in CIF format. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## References

- (1) Presented in part at the 230th American Chemical Society National Meeting, Washington, DC, August 28-Sept 1, 2005; ORGN abstract 241.
- For reviews, see (a) Gould, S. J. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 2499-2509. (b) Marco-Contelles, J.; Mokina, M. T. Curr. Org. Chem. 2003, 7, 1433 1422. (c) Kumamoto, T.; Ishikawa, T.; Omura, S. Yuki Gosei Kagaku Kyokaishi 2004, 62, 49–58.
- (3) (a) Ito, S.; Matsuya, T.; Omura, S.; Otani, M.; Nakagawa, A. J. Antibiot. **1970**, *23*, 315–317. (b) Hata, T.; Omura, S.; Iwai, Y.; Nakagawa, A.; Otani, M. J. Antibiot. **1971**, *24*, 353–359. (c) Omura, S.; Nakagawa, A.; Yamada, H.; Hata, T.; Furusaki, A. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1973, 21, 931-940
- (4) (a) Seaton, P. J.; Gould, S. J. J. Antibiot. 1989, 42, 189-197. Synthetic
- 47, 675-680.
- (6) Furusaki, A.; Matsui, M.; Watanabe, T.; Omura, S.; Nakagawa, A.; Hata,
- (7) Gould, S. J.; Tamayo, N.; Melville, C. R.; Cone, M. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 2207–2208.
- (8) Mithani, S.; Weeratunga, G.; Taylor, N. J.; Dmitrienko, G. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 2209–2210.
- (9) Mechanistic studies: (a) Laufer, R. S.; Dmitrienko, G. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 1854–1855. (b) Feldman, K. S.; Eastman, K. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 15344–15345. (c) Hasinoff, B. B.; Wu, X.; Yalowich, J. C.; Goodfellow, V.; Laufer, R. S.; Adedayo, O.; Dmitrienko,
- G. I. Anti-Cancer Drugs 2006, 17, 825–837.
  (10) Li, C.; Johnson, R. P.; Porco, J. A., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 5095–5106.
- (11) Hu, Y.; Li, C.; Kulkarni, B. A.; Strobel, G.; Lobkovsky, E.; Torczynski, R. M.; Porco, J. A., Jr. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 1649-1652
- See Supporting Information for complete experimental details.
- (13) Evans, D. A.; Ratz, A. M.; Huff, B. E.; Sheppard, G. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 7171-7172
- (14) Busque, F.; Canto, M.; de March, P.; Figueredo, M.; Font, J.; Rodriguez, S. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2003**, *14*, 2021–2032.
- (15) Aggarwal, V. K.; Mereu, A.; Tarver, G. J.; McCague, R. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 7183-7189.
- (16) Clark, D. A.; Riccardis, F. D.; Nicolaou, K. C. Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 11391-11426.
- (17) Evans, D. A.; Chapman, K. T.; Carreira, E. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110 3560-3578
- (18) O'Donnell, C. J.; Burke, S. D. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 8614-8616.
- (19) Thomas, S.; Huynh, T.; Enriquez-Rios, V.; Singaram, B. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3915-3918.
- (20) Gautier, E. C. L.; Graham, A. E.; McKillop, A.; Standen, S. P.; Taylor, R. J. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 1881-1884.
- (21) Jung, M. E.; Hagenah, J. A. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 1889-1902.
- Albrecht, B. K.; Williams, R. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 2755-2757. (22)Bobe, F.; Tunoori, A. R.; Niestroj, A. J.; Gronwald, O.; Maier, M. E. *Tetrahedron* **1996**, *52*, 9485–9498. (23)
- (24) Chong, J. M.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 1560-1563.
- (25) Ley, S. V.; Norman, J.; Griffith, W. P.; Marsden, S. P. Synthesis 1994, 7,
- 639-666. (26) (a) Lindgren, B. O.; Nilsson, T. Acta. Chem. Scand. 1973, 27, 888-890.
- (b) Kraus, G. A.; Taschner, M. J. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 1175–1176. de Silva, S. O.; Watanabe, M.; Snieckus, V. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, (27)
- 4802 4808
- (28) Lee, A. S.; Hu, Y. J.; Chu, S. F. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 2121-2126. (29)
- Andrus, M. B.; Hicken, E. J.; Meredith, E. L.; Simmons, B. L.; Cannon, J. F. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3859-3862.
- (30) Furrow, M. E.; Myers, A. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 12222-12223.

JA066621V